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Gray leaf spot occurred at very low severity levels (< 4%) and was the predominant foliar disease at the end of the growing season at this location. Gray leaf spot reached the ear leaf by early- to mid-August.
2010 Diseases

Common rust developed and was the predominant early-season disease, likely due to plentiful early-season moisture. Disease severity (<3%) was low at this location in 2010.
Southern rust was present and was identified in this trial on Aug. 13. This disease was observed at very low severity (<0.2%) levels, likely due to the onset of cooler weather after southern rust arrived.
Eyespot, common smut and Physoderma brown spot were also present in this trial, but at very low incidence and severity levels, thus not justifying ratings for these diseases at this location in 2010.
2010 Diseases

Goss’s bacterial wilt and leaf blight was confirmed in this trial. This disease was first observed on Jul. 29 and occurred in this trial at very low incidence and severity levels.
2010 Foliar Fungicide Trials

South Central Ag Lab, Clay Center, NE

- High clearance sprayer used
- Elevated disease risk
- Continuous corn
- Corn hybrid:
  - DKC 61-69 (GLS rating 5/9, "good")
- Planting date: 5/5/10
- Target plant population of 30,000 plants/A
- 6 reps
- 20 gpa at 40 psi
- Overhead sprinkler irrigated
- Alley width & row spacing = 30 inches
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
Gray Leaf Spot Disease Severity (%)
R1 Application 7/15/10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Growth Stage</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Disease Severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2010</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Non-treated Control</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/29/2010</td>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Headline EC, 6 oz/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/13/2010</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Headline AMP, 10 oz/A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/27/2010</td>
<td>R5 (starch line 1/2 up kernel)</td>
<td>Evito, 5.7 oz/A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quilt, 14 oz/A</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quilt Xcel, 10.5 oz/A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stratego, 10 oz/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stratego YLD, 5 oz/A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quadris, 9 oz/A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE

**Area Under the Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) for Gray Leaf Spot**

**R1 Application 7/15/10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Area Under the Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) for gray leaf spot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Treated</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headline EC 6 oz/A</td>
<td>bc 28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headline AMP 10 oz/A</td>
<td>bc 29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evito 5.7 oz/A</td>
<td>b 34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quilt 14 oz/A</td>
<td>c 23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quilt Xcel 10.5 oz/A</td>
<td>c 24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratego 10 oz/A</td>
<td>bc 28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratego YLD 5 oz/A</td>
<td>bc 29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadris 9 oz/A</td>
<td>c 22.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Treatments with different letters are statistically different. Coefficient of variation is 21.1%"
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
Common rust Disease Severity (%)
R1 Application 7/15/10

Disease Severity %
(% total leaf area coverage)

Rating Date & Growth Stage

7/15/2010 R1
7/29/2010 R3
8/13/2010 R4
8/27/2010 R5 (starch line 1/2 up kernel)

Treatment

- Non-treated Control
- Headline EC, 6 oz/A
- Headline AMP, 10 oz/A
- Evito, 5.7 oz/A
- Quilt, 14 oz/A
- Quilt Xcel, 10.5 oz/A
- Stratego, 10 oz/A
- Stratego YLD, 5 oz/A
- Quadris, 9 oz/A
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
Area Under the Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) for Common Rust
R1 Application 7/15/10

*Treatments with different letters are statistically different. Coefficient of variation is 13.7%
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE

Gray Leaf Spot Progression up the plant (Leaf number on 1-19 scale)

R1 Application 7/15/10, August 13, 2010 rating date (R4 reproductive stage)

*Treatments with different letters are statistically different. Coefficient of variation is 7.5%
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
Stay Green % assessed on September 12, 2010
R1 Application 7/15/10

*Treatments with different letters are statistically different. Coefficient of variation is 7.2%
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
Push Lodging % assessed on October 7, 2010
R1 Application 7/15/10

*Treatments with different letters are statistically different. Coefficient of variation is 45.5%
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
500 Count Kernel Weight (g)
R1 Application 7/15/10

*No statistical differences between treatments. Coefficient of variation is 2.6%
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
Grain Moisture %
R1 Application 7/15/10

*No statistical differences between treatments. Coefficient of variation is 2.5%

*Harvest date: October 12
2010 Fungicide Comparison Trial in NE
Yield (bu/A)
R1 Application 7/15/10

*No statistical differences between treatments. Coefficient of variation is 4.3%
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